

Digression: Discrete-Time Optimization

[For now: As motivation for continuous time. For later: Preview of discrete-time macro.]

- Consider optimal consumption and capital accumulation problem over T periods:

- Preferences:
$$U = \sum_{t=1}^T \beta^{t-1} u(c_t) = u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2) + \dots + \beta^{T-1} u(c_T)$$

- Budget equations:
$$y_t = f(k_t) = c_t + [k_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)k_t] \quad [\text{Simplify the example: } A=L=1]$$

- Initial condition: Take $k_1 > 0$ as given.

- Finite horizon T => Capital is useless after period T => Terminal condition $k_{T+1} = 0$.

- Choice variables: k_2, \dots, k_T and c_1, \dots, c_T . Finite number.

- Optimization: Maximize utility subject to the budget equations.

- Define T Lagrange multipliers λ_t , $t=1, \dots, T$, for the T budget equations.

- Standard Lagrangian expression:

$$L = \sum_{t=1}^T \beta^{t-1} u(c_t) + \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t \{ f(k_t) - c_t + (1 - \delta) \cdot k_t - k_{t+1} \}$$

where $k_{t+1} = 0$ for $t=T$; and $k_1 > 0$ is given for $t=1$.

- Optimality conditions:

(i) $\partial L / \partial c_t = 0$ for $t=1, \dots, T$; (ii) $\partial L / \partial k_t = 0$ for $t=2, \dots, T$; (iii) satisfy the budget equations.

- Claim: These conditions are analogous to the conditions of the Maximum principle.

Discrete-time Optimality Conditions

• Repeat:
$$L = \sum_{t=1}^T \beta^{t-1} u(c_t) + \sum_{t=1}^T \lambda_t \{f(k_t) - c_t + (1 - \delta) \cdot k_t - k_{t+1}\}$$

i. Differentiate with respect to c_t (for $t=1, \dots, T$):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c_t} = \beta^{t-1} u'(c_t) - \lambda_t = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_t = \beta^{t-1} u'(c_t)$$

ii. Differentiate with respect to k_t (for $t=2, \dots, T$):

- Note that k_t appears twice: in the period- t constraint and in the period- $(t-1)$ constraint.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial k_t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_t} [\lambda_t \{f(k_t) - c_t + (1 - \delta) \cdot k_t - k_{t+1}\}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial k_t} [\lambda_{t-1} \{f(k_{t-1}) - c_{t-1} + (1 - \delta) \cdot k_{t-1} - k_t\}]$$

$$= \lambda_t \{f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)\} - \lambda_{t-1} = 0$$

- Write as: $\lambda_t - \lambda_{t-1} = -\lambda_t \cdot [f'(k_t) - \delta]$

iii. Differentiate with respect to the multipliers λ_t (for $t=1, \dots, T$):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_t} = f(k_t) - c_t + (1 - \delta) \cdot k_t - k_{t+1} = 0 \Rightarrow k_{t+1} - k_t = f(k_t) - \delta \cdot k_t - c_t$$

• Three parts – each analogous to the Maximum Principle:

- (i) Optimal consumption \Rightarrow Marginal utility = Shadow value.
- (ii) Optimal capital \Rightarrow Change in multiplier proportional to return on capital.
- (iii) Optimal multiplier \Rightarrow Recovers the budget equations

Important insight: Discrete-time Euler Equations

[Result worth remembering for later.]

- Derivation: combine $\lambda_t = \beta^{t-1} u'(c_t) \Rightarrow u'(c_t) = \lambda_t / \beta^{t-1}$
 and $\lambda_{t-1} = \lambda_t \{f'(k_t) + (1 - \delta)\} = \lambda_t \{1 + f'(k_t) - \delta\} = \lambda_t \cdot (1 + r_t)$
 - Apply to any periods t and t+1:

$$u'(c_t) = \lambda_t / \beta^{t-1} = (1 + r_{t+1}) \cdot \lambda_{t+1} / \beta^{t-1} = (1 + r_{t+1}) \cdot \beta \cdot \lambda_{t+1} / \beta^t = (1 + r_{t+1}) \cdot \beta u'(c_{t+1})$$
- Result:
$$u'(c_t) = (1 + r_{t+1}) \cdot \beta u'(c_{t+1})$$

 or
$$u'(c_t) = [1 + f'(k_{t+1}) - \delta] \cdot \beta u'(c_{t+1})$$
- \Rightarrow Marginal utility now = Discounted marginal utility next period * (1 + interest rate)
 where (1 + interest rate) = gross return on capital = 1 + MPK – depreciation.
- Equivalent:
$$\frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})} = 1 + r_{t+1} = 1 + f'(k_{t+1}) - \delta$$
- \Rightarrow Marginal rate of substitution now vs. next period = Gross return on capital.
 Intuition: Slope of indifference curve = Slope of budget line.
- Equivalent:
$$\frac{u'(c_t)}{u'(c_{t+1})} = \beta(1 + r_{t+1})$$

 Marginal utilities declining over time iff $\beta(1 + r_{t+1}) > 1 \Leftrightarrow$ Consumption increasing.
- \Rightarrow Consumption growth is positive if and only if $(1 + r_{t+1}) > 1/\beta$.